

Claim 1, line 1, delete "in combination".

Claim 1, lines 5-6, delete "arranged in a configuration to cut a blister from a sheet of substrate".

Claim 2, line 1, delete "in combination".

Claim 3 line 1, delete "in combination".

R E M A R K S

This amendment is in response to the office action dated March 27, 2001 wherein the drawings were objected to as well as the specification and the claims were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Enclosed please find a new drawing of Fig. 8 corrected as suggested by the examiner.

The disclosure has been amended to correct all of the informalities pointed out by the examiner.

The rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112 is believed to have been obviated because the claims have been amended and in a fashion that applicant believes is in accordance with the examiner's suggestions.


Attached to these remarks are copies of the pages of the specification that have been amended and the claims illustrating how the amendments have been made.

In addition, an entirely new and clean copy of the specification including the claims is enclosed herewith which incorporates all of the amendments referred to above.

Please contact the undersigned if any of the supplied material is not in accordance with the new rules.

Respectfully submitted,

WOODLING, KROST AND RUST

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Charles R. Rust", written over a horizontal line.

By

Charles R. Rust, Reg. No. 18,716

216-241-4150

Kenneth L. Mitchell, Reg. No. 36,873

216-241-4150